Response to Pre-Bid Queries

Request for Proposal (RFP) for Selection of Consulting Agency to provide Technical and Programme Management Support RFP ref: RFP/Consultancy Service/ 2025/01 published on dated 11.01.2025

Date: 07.03.2025

RFP Document S.No. Reference(s) (Section & Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) Points of Clarification / Suggestion Response from IHMCL Page Number(s)) As per RFP 6.3.1. Detailed Technical A2: Notarized affidavit confirming number of The process of obtaining a notarized affidavit is an additional administrative step that Scoring Criteria, Pg. 23 Full-time employees requires time and resources. Therefore, we request the authority to consider accepting alternative forms of verification. As a standard practice for similar verifications, companies typically provide a signed and stamped letter from Human Resources department that attests to the number of full-time 1 employees. This document is prepared and authorized by the HR personnel and is accepted as valid proof in contractual contexts. Therefore, we propose the submission of such a company-issued letter, provided that it contains all necessary details and is duly signed by an authorized company representative. B1: In the case of ongoing projects, letter This clause requires a letter from the competent authority of the client entity on the entity's As per RFP 6.3.1. Detailed Technical Scoring Criteria, Pg. 23 issued by competent authority of the client letterhead stating the current validity of the contract and reaffirming the work value. This entity on the entity's letterhead stating the clause could have administrative constraints or lengthy internal processes that could delay current validity of the contract and reaffirming the issuance of such a letter, which could, in turn, delay the bidding process. Therefore, we 2 the work value completed (which should be as request the authority to relax this clause and accept alternate forms of evidence that per requisite criteria) demonstrate the bidder's performance and the ongoing nature of the contract. This could include self-certification from the bidder. 6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for D1 : For Project Manager It is requested to amend the RFP clause as below: As per RFP Evaluation of Proposed Minimum Education Qualification - BE/Btech/ any graduation degree from any recognized Resource, Pg. 25 Minimum Education Qualification - BE/BTech institute lin any Stream from any recognized institute by AICTE and Regular Full-time MBA or Many experienced professionals may not be able to pursue a full-time degree, however, equivalent they may be equally capable of delivering the scope for NHAI/ IHMCL. Restricting the 3 participation of such candidates is discriminatory and it is strongly suggested that the criterion be amended as above to ensure fair competition. Further, the said professionals of good caliber may not necessarily have a engineering/BTech/BE degree. It is recommended to not restrict participation from candidates who may have graduation in a different field but possess adequate experience for the role. 6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for D1 : For Project Manager It is requested to modify the clause as below: Refer Corrigendum # 1 Evaluation of Proposed i. MBA/ Mtech/ MCA/ Diploma in Transportation or any equivalent field - 2 marks Resource, Pg. 25 . Regular Full-time Degree or Post-This clause is highly restrivtive since there are many institutions that are ranked by the Graduation from any IITs/ IISc/ IIMs/ XLRI - 2 National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF), Ministrty of Education itself, for academic ii. Regular Full-time Degree or Post-Graduate excellence. In addition, it limits the recognition of academic excellence to a select few from any institute other than (i) above - 0 mark institutions, thereby excluding highly qualified candidates with degrees from other institutes but are equally capable and industry-ready professionals. Furthermore, many experienced professionals may not be able to pursue a full-time degree, however, they may be equally capable of delivering the scope for NHAI/ IHMCL. Restricting the participation of such candidates is discriminatory. Therefore, it is strongly suggested that the criterion be amended as above to ensure fair competition.

S.No.	RFP Document			
1	Reference(s) (Section &	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
	Page Number(s))	, ,		·
5 6	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for	D2: For Toll Management System (TMS)	It is requested to modify the clause as below:	Refer Corrigendum # 1
	Evaluation of Proposed	Expert		
F	Resource, Pg. 26		Conducted Factory Acceptance Testing (FAT) and/or Site/System Acceptance Testing	
		Conducted Factory Acceptance Testing (FAT)	(SAT).	
1		and/or Site/System Acceptance Testing (SAT)	For avaidance of doubt CAT for avaicate about moon one unique avaicat undertaken by the	
1		as a consultant.	For avoidance of doubt, SAT for projects shall mean one unique project undertaken by the resource and shall not mean multiple locations/sites under same project	
1		For avoidance of doubt, SAT for projects shall		
		mean one unique project undertaken by the	- More than 3 projects = 2 marks	
		resource and shall not mean multiple	- 1 - 3 projects = 1 mark	
		locations/sites under same project		
		- More than 3 projects = 2 marks		
		- 1 - 3 projects = 1 mark		
[6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for	D3: For Highways ATMS Expert	Request the authority to amend the clause as follows:	As per RFP
	Evaluation of Proposed			
F	Resource, Pg. 27	Years of experience working in the field of	Years of experience working in the field of Advanced Traffic Management System (ATMS)	
		, , ,	or, Intelligent Traffic Management System for Highways/ Smart City/City Surveillance	
		or, Intelligent Traffic Management System for Highways/ Smart City/City Surveillance projects	projects	
6		Inigriways/ Smart City/City Surveillance projects	- => 10 years = 4 marks	
		- > 15 years = 4 marks	>8 years up to 10 years = 3 marks	
		- 13-15 years and up to 15 years = 3 marks	- =>6 - 8 years = 2 marks	
		- 10 -12 years = 2 marks	- 4 - 6 years = 1 mark	
			- < 4 years = 0 mark	
		D3: For Highways ATMS Expert	Request the auhtority to amend the clause as follows:	As per RFP
	Evaluation of Proposed			
F	Resource, Pg. 27	Experience in ATMS Projects:	Experience in ATMS Projects:	
		Highways projects with Central / State	Number of projects worked related to ATMS projects with Central / State Highway Authorities/ Smart City/ Safe City/ City Municipal Corporations/ PSUs and other similar	
7		Highway Authorities	entities	
		-> 6 projects = 3 marks	- => 6 projects = 3 marks	
		- 5 – 6 projects = 2 marks - 3 - 4 projects = 1 mark	- 5 – 6 projects = 2 marks - 3 - 4 projects = 1 mark	
		- 5 - 4 projects – 1 mark	- 0 - 4 projects - 1 mark	
1		D4: IT System Audit Expert	D4: IT System Audit Expert	As per RFP
	Evaluation of Proposed Resource, Pg. 28	Experience in IT System Audit:	Experience in IT System Audit:	
, l'	1.0000100, 1 g. 20		Number of IT System Audit conduct related to e-Governance projects/ digital transformation	
			projects/Smart city projects, etc. with Central / State Government/entities	
8		projects/Smart city projects, etc. with Central /		
		State Government/entities	- => 5 projects = 5 marks	
i			- 2 - 4 projects = 3 marks	
		- > 2 projects = 2 marks - 1 - 2 projects = 1 mark	- <2 projects = 0	
	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for	D4: IT System Audit Expert	Request the authority to remove this clause.	As per RFP
	Evaluation of Proposed			
F	Resource, Pg. 28	Experience in IT System Audit for TMS and		
9		ATMS projects:		
i		Number of IT System Audit conduct related to		
i		TMS or ATMS for projects with Central / State Highway Authorities		
, !		n ngnway Authonities		

	DED D	I	I	
	RFP Document			
S.No.	Reference(s) (Section &	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
	Page Number(s)) 6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for	D5 Technical Support – TMS	Degrees the cutherity to amond the clause as helpy, since most projects have EAT and	As per RFP
	Evaluation of Proposed	Do Technical Support = TMS	Request the authority to amend the clause as below, since most projects have FAT and SAT may not always be worded as SAT and may often be a part of UAT.	AS PET REP
	Resource, Pg. 28 & 29	Experience of SAT for TMS System at Toll	I hay not always be worded as SAT and may often be a part of OAT.	
	Tresource, 1 g. 20 & 29	Plaza:	Experience of UAT/ FAT/ SAT for TMS System at Toll Plaza:	
			Number of UAT/ FAT/ SAT conducted related to TMS for any type of roads for any	
		highways projects with Central / State Highway	government entity/ agency	
10		Authorities		
			For avoidance of doubt, UAT/ FAT/ SAT for projects shall mean one unique project	
		For avoidance of doubt, SAT for projects shall	undertaken by the resource and shall not mean multiple locations/sites under same project	
		mean one unique project undertaken by the		
		resource and shall not mean multiple		
		locations/sites under same project		
	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for	D6 Technical Support – ATMS	D6 Technical Support – ATMS	As per RFP
	Evaluation of Proposed			
	Resource, Pg. 29	Total years of experience in Advanced Traffic	Total years of experience in Advanced Traffic Management System (ATMS) or, Intelligent	
44		Management System (ATMS) or, Intelligent	Traffic Management System for Highways/ Smart City/City Surveillance projects	
11		Traffic Management System for Highways/ Smart City/City Surveillance projects	2	
		Smart City/City Surveillance projects	- > 3 years = 3 marks - 0 - 2 years = 2 marks	
		- > 7 years = 3 marks	- U - 2 years	
		- 5-7 years = 2 marks		
	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for	D6 Technical Support – ATMS	Request the authority to amend the clause as below, since most projects have FAT and	As per RFP
	Evaluation of Proposed		SAT may not always be worded as SAT and may often be a part of UAT.	
	Resource, Pg. 29	Experience of SAT for ATMS System:		
		Number of SAT conducted related to ATMS for	Experience of UAT/ FAT/ SAT for ATMS System:	
			Number of UAT/ FAT/ SAT conducted related to ATMS for any type of roads for any	
12		Authorities	government entity/ agency	
		For avaidance of doubt CAT for a value of a shall	L	
		For avoidance of doubt, SAT for projects shall	For avoidance of doubt, UAT/ FAT/ SAT for projects shall mean one unique project	
		mean one unique project undertaken by the resource and shall not mean multiple	undertaken by the resource and shall not mean multiple locations/sites under same project	
		locations/sites under same project		
	9.1. Form 1: Bid Covering		As per the eligibility criteria, the bidder is required to provide undertaking on non-blacklisting	As per RFP
	Letter, Pg. 42	State Government institution/ Public Sector	as per the specified format. There is no separate format given in the RFP for undertaking	7.0 50.1.1.
	, 3	Undertaking/ Autonomous body and there has	on non-blacklisting and the non-blacklisting clause is simply mentioned in Form 1: Bid	
		been no litigation with any Government	Covering Letter. We understand that the same should be provided as undertaking of non-	
		Department/ PSU/ Autonomous body on	blacklisting. Please clarify.	
		account of similar services as on bid due date.		
13			Also please modify, in the Bid Covering letter Point No.4-h	
			h. I/We are not blacklisted by a Central/ State Government institution/ Public Sector	
			Undertaking/ Autonomous body and there has been no litigation with any Government	
			Department/ PSU/ Autonomous body on account of providing technical and programme	
			management support in various projects of Indian Highways Management Company	
			Limited , as on bid due date.	

	RFP Document			
S.No.	Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
	9.5 Form 5 : Power of Attorney/Letter of Authorization	Power of Attorney	Request the authority to amend the clause as below: Know all men by these presents, we, M/s	As per RFP
14		Notes: The mode of execution of the Power of Attorney should be in accordance with the procedure, if any, laid down by the applicable law and the charter documents of the executants(s) and when it is so required the same should be under common seal affixed in accordance with the required procedure. The Power of Attorney should be executed on a non-judicial stamp paper of appropriate denomination and should be registered or duly notarized by a notary public. Wherever required, the Applicant should submit for verification the extract of the charter documents and other documents such as a resolution/power of attorney in favor of the person executing this Power of Attorney for the delegation of power hereunder on behalf of the Applicant. For a Power of Attorney executed and issued overseas, the document will also have to be legalized by the Indian Embassy and notarized in the jurisdiction where the Power of Attorney is being issued. However, Applicants from countries that have signed The Hague Legislation Convention 1961 need not get their Power of Attorney legalized by the Indian Embassy if it carries a conforming Apostles certificate.		As per RFP

S.No.	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
15	8.3 Scope of Work, Pg. 33	Limited (Hereinafter referred to as "Authority" or "IHMCL") intends to engage the consultants for providing technical and programme management support in various projects such as TMS, ATMS, MLFF projects etc. The Scope will broadly encompass the following activities: i. Preparation of Detailed Projects Report (DPR) iii. Technical Input on preparation of various RFPs iii. Preparation of Bill of Quantities (BOQ) iv. Conduct of Factory Acceptance Testing (FAT) and review of FAT documents v. Conduct of Site/System Acceptance Testing (SAT) vi. IT System Audit at toll plazas and ATMS system vii. Review of various technical documents such as Design Document, Requirement Specifications, related to TMS, ATMS projects viii. Site Assessment ix. SLA Monitoring of various projects x. MIS and Reporting xi. Programme Management Support for various Projects	required. This will help the bidders estimate the expanse of work.	Please visit IHMCL wesbite for details of Projects undertaken by IHMCL currently. Further details shall be provided to the successful bidder upon award of contract.
16	8.3.1. Various Activities & Deliverables, Pg. 34 & 36	Preparation of Detailed Project Report (DPR)	Site survey reports for preparation of DPRs and Site Assessment will be made available to the consultant to proceed with further work. Hence, surveys are not part of the scope of the consultant. Please confirm.	

	RFP Document			
S.No.	Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
17	8.3.1. Various Activities & Deliverables, Pg. 36	viii. SLA Monitoring of Various Projects The consultant shall be responsible for monitoring and ensuring compliance with Service Level Agreements (SLAs) across various projects. This shall involve: a. Monitoring system uptime, as per SLA defined in Contracts b. Tracking vendor performance against contractual obligations. c. Identifying SLA breaches and recommending penalties or corrective actions. d. Preparing periodic SLA compliance reports for review by IHMCL. Effective SLA monitoring will ensure that all deployed systems operate efficiently and meet performance benchmarks.	It is expected that IHMCL will provide the consultant access to SLA monitoring tools/software provided by IHMCL or the implementation agency for the purpose. All tracking and monitoring of SLAs will be done through these tools only. Kindly confirm.	SLA monitoring tools/portal shall be provided by IHMCL. However, necessary changes and customisation as required for effective monitoring shall be suggested by the Consultant.
18	8.3.1. Various Activities & Deliverables, Pg. 37	x. MIS and Reporting The consultant shall develop and maintain a Management Information System (MIS) for real time reporting and decision-making. This shall include: a. Designing and implementing data dashboards to track project progress, system performance, and key metrics. b. Generating monthly, quarterly, and annual reports with insights into project health, vendor performance, and risk factors. c. Ensuring data accuracy and consistency in reporting mechanisms. d. Providing customized reports based on stakeholder requirements.	It is understood that bidders may deploy their own means to prepare, present and share MIS dashboard and reports. No particular tool or software is required to be used for the purpose. Kindly confirm.	Consultant shall prepare the MIS dashboard etc. in consultation with IHMCL.
19	Section 4.3 Relevant Project Experience, Page 10	value not less than the amount INR 1 Crore (excluding taxes) in India for Central/State Government departments/entities, or Law	The Bidder must have successfully completed at least 1 project of Contract value not less than the amount INR 1 Crore (excluding taxes) in India or global for Central/State Government departments/entities, or Law Enforcement Authorities, or PSUs as on the bid due date in the last 07 years as on bid due date. Similar nature" for this criterion shall mean Consulting or Advisory Services involving the preparation of Detailed Project Reports (DPR)/Preparation of tender documents/Bid Process Management/ Programme Management Unit (PMU) in the field of Intelligent Traffic Management Systems (ITMS)/Advanced Traffic Management Systems (ATMS)/Electronic Tolling System/City Surveillance/ Smart City/ IT Consulting / E- governance	As per RFP

	RFP Document			
S.No.	Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
20	Section 6.3.1 B. Relevant	value not less than the amount INR 1 Crore (excluding taxes) in India for Central/State Government departments/entities, or Law	The Bidder must have successfully completed at least 1 project of Contract value not less than the amount INR 1 Crore (excluding taxes) in India or global for Central/State Government departments/entities, or Law Enforcement Authorities, or PSUs as on the bid due date in the last 07 years as on bid due date. Similar nature" for this criterion shall mean Consulting or Advisory Services involving the preparation of Detailed Project Reports (DPR)/Preparation of tender documents/Bid Process Management/ Programme Management Unit (PMU) in the field of Intelligent Traffic Management Systems (ITMS)/Advanced Traffic Management Systems (ATMS)/Electronic Tolling System/City Surveillance/ Smart City/ IT Consulting / E- governance We have globally recognized certified professionals with extensive experience working on multiple government projects across various departments in India and abroad, handling similar assignments.	As per RFP
21	Section 6.3.1 D. Resource Profile, Page - 23	Resource Profile	We assume that the bidder is required to submit a single CV for each profile. Please confirm.	The understanding is correct.
22	Section 6.3.2. Detailed criteria for Evaluation of Proposed Resource, Page 25	Experience in PMU for IT/ITS projects with Central/State Government departments /entities, Authorities, or PSUs	We assume that if a bidder has executed multiple projects with the same government department or entity, each project will be evaluated separately for scoring.	Evaluation shall be done based on number of projects awarded and implemented.
23	Section 4.3 Eligibility/ Pre- Qualification Criteria	JV/Consortium are NOT allowed	We request you to allow JV as this need two different kind of experience (Digital Transformation and ITMS). Kindly allow	As per RFP
24	Section 2, Page 05	Bid Due Date - 12th March,2025 @16:00 hrs IST	We request you to extend the bid due date by two weeks Revised Due Date - 26th March,2025 @16:00 hrs IST	Refer Corrigendum # 1
25	Section 8.4.1 Other Requirements, Page 39	be allowed in the 1st year of the Project. A penalty of Rs. 2 lakhs per resource shall be applicable for any replacement in the first year.	We assume that if key resources leave the organization due to unavoidable circumstances beyond the bidder's control, the bidder firm will not be penalized. Bidder will be committed to provide equivalent or higher resource profile for the same resource category	As per RFP
26	Additional Query		We assume that deployed consultants will work from 9:30am to 6:00pm (Monday-Friday). As our focus towards Our People and Our Clients we will continue to deepen our relationship with our client by delivering excellence and building trust. Together, we must continue to channel our efforts toward achieving our goal with Our People at its Core. As we believe, a professional services organization, our personnel need to undergo trainings for their professional growth. We assume that you will provide 08 Training days and 24 paid time off annually for each consultant apart from the leave notified by IHMCL. Kindly confirm.	Official working hours and public holidays observed by IHMCL shall apply. Consultants may avail leave for training and other exigencies with prior approval from IHMCL, subject to meeting project requirements and timelines.
27	Additional Query	Work Location	We assume that the deployed consultant's work location will be the IHMCL headquarters in Delhi for a duration of 36 months. Please confirm.	The primary work location for the deployed consultants shall be the IHMCL office in Delhi. However, the consultant team shall require to undertake site visits at various project locations across India.

	RFP Document			
S.No.	Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
28	Page No. 9- Clause 4.3. Eligibility / PreQualification Criteria >> S. no. 1 Legal Entity	i. The Bidder must be a business entity incorporated in India under the Companies Act, 1956/2013 or the Limited Liability Partnerships Act, 2008. ii. The Bidder should be registered with GST in India. JV/ Consortiums are NOT allowed.	With reference to the mentioned clause, we kindly request to allow Consortium/JV partners can also participate.	As per RFP
29	Page No. 9- Clause 4.3. Eligibility / PreQualification	The bidder should be engaged in the business of IT consulting and must have provided IT consulting to any Central/State Government department/entities or PSUs in the last 5 years as on bid due date.		As per RFP
30	Page No. 10 - Clause 4.3. Eligibility / PreQualification Criteria >> S. no. 5 Relevant Project Experience	The Bidder must have successfully completed at least 1 project of 'similar nature' of Contract value not less than the amount INR 1 Crore (excluding taxes) in India for Central/State Government departments/entities, or Law Enforcement Authorities, or PSUs as on the bid due date in the last 07 years as on bid due date. "Similar nature" for this criterion shall mean Consulting or Advisory Services involving the preparation of Detailed Project Reports (DPR)/Preparation of tender documents/Bid Process Management (Programme Management Unit (PMU) in the field of Intelligent Traffic Management Systems (ITMS)/Advanced Traffic Management Systems (ATMS)/Electronic Tolling System/City Surveillance/ Smart City	With reference to the mentioned clause, request you to amend as "The Bidder must have successfully completed at least 1 project of IT consulting/IT deployment/IT/ ITes of Contract value not less than the amount INR 1 Crore (excluding taxes) in India for Central/State Government departments/entities, or Law Enforcement Authorities, or PSUs or Private Organization as on the bid due date in the last 07 years as on bid due date.	As per RFP
31	Fact Sheet Point No.: 3 Page No.: 04	EMD of INR 15 lakhs in the form of Demand Draft OR Bankers Cheque OR bank guarantee OR and electronic Bank Guarantee under Structured Financial Messaging System (SFMS).	We respectfully request the Authority to consider waiving the Bidding Security requirement for MSME (Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises) bidders. This gesture would greatly support the participation of many firms and enhance the competitiveness of the bidding process.	Refer Corrigendum # 1
32	Instructions to the Bidder Clause 4.3, Eligibility/Pre- Qualification Criteria Point No.: 01 (Legal Entity) Page No.:09	JV/Consortiums are not Allowed.	We kindly request Authority to allow Joint Venture / Association, at least 2 Partners.	As per RFP
33	Evaluation Criteria Clause 6.3.1 (Detailed Technical Scoring) Point – A1 Page No.:23	Average Annual Turnover generated in the last three financial years FY 2021-22, 2022-23 and 2023-24 More than INR 10 Crores = 5 marks Equal to INR 5 Crore up to INR 10 Crore = 4 Marks Less than INR 5 Crore = 0 mark	We request the Authority to reconsider the Average Annual Turnover of last 3 financial years as mentioned below: More than INR 4 Crores – 5 Marks In between INR 3 – 4 Crores – 4 Marks Less than INR 2 Crores – 0 Marks	As per RFP

S.No.	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section &	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
3.140.	Page Number(s))	Content of KFF requiring Clarification(s)	Folitis of Clarification / Suggestion	Response nom inwice
34	Evaluation Criteria Clause 6.3.1 (Detailed Technical Scoring) Point – A2 Page No.:23	as on the release date of RFP. No. of employees is more than 50 = 5 marks	We request the Authority to reconsider the Total Number of Full-time Employees as mentioned below: No. of employees more than 30 – 5 Marks No. of employees between 30 to 20 – 4 Marks Less than 20 No. of employees – 0 Marks	As per RFP
35	Instructions to the Bidder Clause 4.3, Eligibility/Pre- Qualification Criteria Point No.: 05 (Relevant Project Experience) Page No.: 10	"Similar nature" for this criterion shall mean Consulting or Advisory Services involving the preparation of Detailed Project Reports (DPR)/Preparation of tender documents/Bid Process Management/ Programme Management Unit (PMU) in the field of Intelligent Traffic Management	Similar nature for this criterion shall mean Consulting or Advisory services or study or Technical Assistance involving the Preparation of Detailed Project Reports (DPR's)/Preparation of tender documents/Bid Process Management/Programme Management Unit (PMU) in the field of Road Safety Management which includes components of ITS, Enforcement, Speed Management, Intelligent Traffic Management system (ITMS)/Advanced Traffic Management System (ATMS)/Electronic Tolling System/City Surveillance/Smart City. As this consultancy services in reduction of accidents and speed management, we request you to consider experience in Road Safety Management as this includes various stakeholders i.e., Traffic Department, R&B/PWD, Educational, Medical Departments & Transport Authorities to implement ITS & Enforcement measures.	As per RFP
36	4.3. Eligibility / Pre- Qualification Criteria Annual Turnover Page No. 09	The Bidder should have an average annual turnover of at least INR 5 crore in the last three financial years FY D202021-22, 2022-23 and 2023-24.	Turnover of INR 5 Crore will invite applications from very small companies without strong resources, experience and governance structure, which may or may not be able to deliver the project with desired quality. It is requested to kindly increase the turnover criteria to ensure standard and established firms only to participate. Accordingly, it is requested to modify the clase as below: The Bidder should have an average annual turnover of at least INR 250 crore in the last three financial years FY D202021-22, 2022-23 and 2023- 24.	As per RFP
37	4.3. Eligibility / Pre- Qualification Criteria Relevant Project Experience Page No 09	preparation of Detailed Project Reports (DPR)/Preparation of tender documents/Bid Process Management/ Programme Management Unit (PMU) in the field of Intelligent Traffic Management Systems (ITMS)/Advanced Traffic Management Systems (ATMS)/Electronic Tolling System/City Surveillance/ Smart City	ITMS in cities, or City Surveillance / Smart City projects are of different nature. Hence we request to allow expreicne of similar nature only for National Highway / State Highway / Expressway etc. Accordingly, it is requested to modify the clase as below: "Similar nature" for this criterion shall mean Consulting or Advisory Services involving the preparation of Detailed Project Reports (DPR)/Preparation of tender documents/Bid Process Management/ Programme Management Unit (PMU) in the field of Intelligent Traffic Management Systems (ITMS)/Advanced Traffic Management Systems (ATMS)/Electronic Tolling System for any National Highway / State Highway / Expressway etc.	As per RFP
38	5.23. Conflict of Interest Page No 20	In the event that the Consultant, its Associates or affiliates are auditors or financial advisers to any of the Bidders (for System Integration or any other activity) for the Project, they shall make a disclosure to IHMCL as soon as any potential conflict comes to their	Request to kindly modify the clause as below: In the event that the Consultant, its Associates in India or affiliates in India are auditors or financial advisers to any of the Bidders (for System Integration or any other activity) for the Project, they shall make a disclosure to IHMCL as soon as any potential conflict comes to their notice but in no case later than 7 (seven) days from the receipt of such proposals and any breach of this obligation of disclosure shall be construed as Conflict of Interest.	As per RFP

	RFP Document			
S.No.	Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
	r age (value)	The bidder must ensure that the bid is digitally	to be contradicting.	As per RFP
39	5.3.4. Submission of Proposals Page No	signed by the Authorized Signatory of the	We request to modify the clause as below:	
	14	bidding firm and has been duly submitted within the submission timelines.	The bidder must ensure that the bid is digitally signed by the Authorized Signatory of the bidding firm OR that the bid is digitally signed by the DSC Owner, and submitted with the Power of Attorney (POA) in the name of the Authorized Signatory of the bidding firm and has been duly submitted within the submission timelines.	
40	6.3.1. Detailed Technical Scoring Criteria Bidder's Profile Page No 23	Average Annual Turnover generated in the last three financial years FY 2021-22, 2022-23 and 2023-24 More than INR 10 Crores = 5 marks Equal to INR 5 Crore up to INR 10 Crore = 4 Marks Less than INR 5 Crore = 0 mark	In continuation with PQ changes, request to modify the clause as below: Average Annual Turnover generated in the last three financial years FY 2021-22, 2022-23 and 2023-24	As per RFP
41	6.3.1. Detailed Technical Scoring Criteria Relevant Past Experience Page No23	Similar nature" for this criterion shall mean Consulting or Advisory Services involving the preparation of Detailed Project Reports (DPR)/Preparation of tender documents/Bid Process Management/ Programme		As per RFP
42	6.3.1. Detailed Technical Scoring Criteria Approach & Methodology Page No 24	Approach & Methodology	National Highway / State Highway / Expressway etc.	Form 8: Proposed Approach & Methodology at Page 55 to be submitted as a part of bid.
		i. Demonstration of understanding of the Scope of Services & Projects under IHMCL	The presentation and the delivery may need involvement of centralised technical team which will also keep on guiding the ground team as and when required to ensure quality and timely deliverable.	As per RFP
43	6.3.1. Detailed Technical Scoring Criteria	ii. Demonstration of procedures/methodologies for conduct of FAT and SAT for TMS and ATMS projects	The centralised team may also be required to present larger concepts and proposed methodology for the assignment.	
	Approach & Methodology	iii. Demonstration of procedures/methodologies for conduct of IT System Audit at Toll plazas	Hence request to modify the clause as below:	
	Page No 24	Presentation to be made by Proposed Resources of the Bidder before the Committee Assessment to be based on a note covering all requirements as mentioned.	Presentation to be made by the bidder with involvement of key Proposed Resources of the Bidder before the Committee Assessment to be based on a note covering all requirements as mentioned.	

	RFP Document			
S.No.	Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
44	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for Evaluation of Proposed Resource	Educational Qualification Regular Full-time Degree or Post-Graduation	Such high qualification for resource for a Toll based project is difficult and very unlikely. Bidder shall ensure Post Graduation from any recognized and reputed university.	Refer Corrigendum # 1
	D1 : For Project Manager	from any IITs/IISc/IIMs/XLRI – 2 marks	In case of resource not performing upto expectation of the IHMCL, bidder shall replace the resource.	
	Page No 25		However, request to remove requirement of Post Graduation from any IITs/IISc/IIMs/XLRI.	
			Accordingly, it is requested to modify the clase as below:	
			i. Regular Full-time Post Graduate Degree or Post-Graduation from any reputed institute – 2 marks	
			ii. Regular Full-time Degree or Graduate from any institute – 0 mark	
45	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for Evaluation of Proposed	Certification	There are multiple similar project management certifications available, which are of good quality, like Lean Six Sigma, PfMP, PMI, CAPM etc.	As per RFP
	Resource D1 : For Project Manager	Valid PMP or Prince2 Certification = 2 marks ii. No valid PMP or Prince2 Certification = 0	Hence, we request to kindly modify as below:	
	Page No 25		Valid PMP or Prince2 Certification or any equivalent certification= 2 marks ii. No valid PMP or Prince2 Certification or any equivalent certification= 0	
	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for Evaluation of Proposed Resource	Years of experience working in the field of Electronic Tolling / FASTag.	Request to kindly reduce the years of expereince requirement as below: Years of experience working in the field of Electronic Tolling / FASTag.	As per RFP
46	D2 For Toll Management System (TMS) Expert Page No 27	> 15 years = 4 marks □ 13-15 years and up to 15 years = 3 marks □ 10 -12 years = 2 marks	> 10 years = 4 marks - 8-10 years and up to 15 years = 3 marks - 7-8 years = 2 marks	
47	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for Evaluation of Proposed Resource		Resource may have worked in similar project on similar scope as an SI / OEM, and not as a consultant, which is equal in terms of expereince.	Refer Corrigendum # 1
	D2 For Toll Management System (TMS) Expert		Hence request to kindly modify the clause as below: Involved in Factory Acceptance Testing (FAT) and/or Site/System Acceptance Testing	
	Page No 27		(SAT). Request to kindly reduce the years of expereince requirement as below:	As per RFP
48	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for Evaluation of Proposed Resource	Years of experience working in the field of Advanced Traffic Management System (ATMS) or, Intelligent Traffic Management System for Highways/ Smart City/City Surveillance projects	Years of experience working in the field of Advanced Traffic Management System (ATMS)	•
	Expert Page No 28	> 15 years = 4 marks 13-15 years and up to 15 years = 3 marks 10 -12 years = 2 marks	> 10 years = 4 marks □ 8-10 years and up to 15 years = 3 marks □ 7 -8 years = 2 marks	
49	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for Evaluation of Proposed Resource		Resource may have worked in similar project on similar scope as an SI / OEM, and not as a consultant, which is equal in terms of expereince.	Refer Corrigendum # 1
	D3 For Highways ATMS Expert Page No 28		Hence request to kindly modify the clause as below: Involved in Factory Acceptance Testing (FAT) and/or Site/System Acceptance Testing (SAT).	

	RFP Document			
S.No.	Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for Evaluation of Proposed Resource	Experience in IT System Audit: Number of IT System Audit conduct related to e-Governance projects/ digital transformation projects/Smart city projects, etc. with Central / State Government/entities > 2 projects = 2 marks 1 - 2 projects = 1 mark Number of IT System Audit conduct related to TMS or ATMS for projects with Central / State Highway Authorities	IT system audit is a technical work, which is not dependent on the domain. Also, the domain expert team is proposed as part of larger consulting PMU. Hence specific requirement of IT System Audit for TMS and ATMS project would be very restrictive, and does not add any extra value. Very request to kindly modify the requirement as below: Experience in IT System Audit: Number of IT System Audit conduct related to e-Governance projects/ digital transformation projects/Smart city projects, etc. with Central / State Government/entities > 3 projects = 5 marks 2 - 3 projects = 3 marks 1 - 2 projects = 1 mark	As per RFP
		□ > 2 TMS/ATMS projects = 3 marks □ 1 - 2 TMS/ATMS projects = 1 mark		
51	8. Terms of Reference 8.3.1. Various Activities & Deliverables v. IT System Audit at Toll Plazas and ATMS System Page No 36	IT System Audit at Toll Plazas and ATMS System	Request to kindly clarify	Please refer Terms of reference section of the RFP for the scope of work. The scope involves ETC system audit and ATMS system deployed at the site/toll plaza, as applicable.
52	8. Terms of Reference 8.3.1. Various Activities & Deliverables	The consultant shall develop and maintain a Management Information System (MIS) for realtime reporting and decision-making. This shall include:	We understand that consultant shall support IHMCL to develop the MIS through a separate vendor, which will intergate with all the respective Toll Operators for centralised MIS. Request to kindly clarify.	Consultant shall prepare the MIS dashboard etc. in consultation with IHMCL.
	x. MIS and Reporting Page No 37	a. Designing and implementing data dashboards to track project progress, system performance, and key metrics.		
53	8.4. Resources Requirement & Availability Page No 38	Toll Management System (TMS) Expert: 33% - 12 Highways ATMS Expert: 33% - 12	Request to kindly clarify whether the 12 months will be on continous basis or as per	The resources shall have to be made available throughout the contract duration. Refer RFP clause 8.4 for Resource - Availability in a month.
	8.4.1. Other requirements Page No 39	No replacement of Key resources viz. Project Manager – PMU, Toll Management System (TMS) Expert and Highways ATMS Expert shall be allowed in the 1st year of the Project. A penalty of Rs. 2 lakhs per resource shall be applicable for any replacement in the first year. Replacement of any proposed resource (D1 to D6 as per RFP Clause 6.3.2) shall be allowed only in cases such as resignation, medical exigency, etc. Any such replacement shall be done only with equally or more qualified and experienced resource as per written approval from IHMCL.	It is consulting firm's responsibility to ensure the project is delivered to the best possible manner with best experienced and trained resources. However, it may not possible to hold on to resources for a long period in certain cases. It is hence requested to kindly modify the clause as below: No replacement of Key resources viz. Project Manager – PMU, Toll Management System (TMS) Expert and Highways ATMS Expert shall be allowed in the 1st year of the Project, without prior approval from IHMCL. A penalty of Rs. 2 lakhs per resource shall be applicable for any replacement in the first year, if such replacement is without without prior approval from IHMCL. Replacement of any proposed resource (D1 to D6 as per RFP Clause 6.3.2) shall be allowed only in cases such as resignation, medical exigency, etc. Any such replacement shall be done only with equally or more qualified and experienced resource as per written approval from IHMCL.	As per RFP

	DED Description	I		
S.No.	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
55	8.8. Obligations of Consultant Page No 40	c) To procure, as required, the appropriate proprietary rights, licenses, agreements and permissions for, inter alia, materials, methods, processes, software, operating systems, designs, trademarks, documents and systems used.	This may not be applicable for consulting assignment. Request to kindly clarify and remove the requirement.	Refer Corrigendum # 1
56	12.11.1. Deliverable Timelines Page No 68	Any unauthorized absence of Full-time resource Rs. 10,000 per day shall be deducted from the monthly payable.	This contradicts to clause "8.4.1. Other requirements". Request to kindly clarify	Refer Corrigendum # 1
57	enalty, 12.11.1. Deliverable	Any unauthorized absence of Full-time resource Rs. 10,000 per day shall be deducted from the monthly payable.	page 39 of RFP under other requirements section C - Penalty amount per day mentioned in Rs 5000 per day. Pls clarify	As per RFP
58	Page 9, Cl. 4.3 S. No. 1) and Page 68, Cl. 12.7.1	JV/ Consortiums are NOT allowed. To bridge the gap of the skilled resource, the bidder can go in for Sub-Contracting to outsource to bridge the gap as per provisions in this RFP.	While JV/ Consortiums are not allowed, we understand that sub-contracting arrangement is allowed given that some of the positions have specific requirements. Kindly confirm if this understanding is correct	As per RFP and Corrigendum
59	Page 25, Cl. 6.3.2 D1: For Project Manager	Educational qualification Regular Full-time Degree or Post-Graduation from any IITs/IISc/IIMs/XLRI – 2 marks	The clause in its current form puts candidates from other colleges (including those from equally better colleges) at a disadvantageous position. Thus, we request to delete the clause	Refer Corrigendum # 1
60	ITB Cl. 4.3 (1), Eligibility / Pre- Qualification Criteria, Pg. 10 of 73	i. The Bidder must be a business entity incorporated in India under the Companies Act, 1956/2013 or the Limited Liability Partnerships Act, 2008.	We request you to kindly modify the given clause as under so that the foreign company registered and having operations in India may also bid for the project: "i. The Bidder must be a business entity incorporated/registered in India under the Companies Act, 1956/2013 or the Limited Liability Partnerships Act, 2008."	As per RFP
61	ITB Cl. 4.3 (2), Field of Business, Pg. 10 of 73	of IT consulting and must have provided IT consulting to any Central/State Government department/entities or PSUs in the last 5 years as on bid due date.	We kindly request you to modify the given clause as follows: The bidder should be engaged in the business of IT/ITS (Intelligent Transportation System) consulting and must have provided IT/ ITS (Intelligent Transportation System) consulting to any Central/State Government department/entities or PSUs in the last 5 years as on bid due date. We believe that the term "ITS (Intelligent Transportation System) Consulting" shall more clear to ensure the objectives and requirements of the RFP.	As per RFP.
62	ITB Cl. 5.15 (a), Performance Guarantee, Pg. 19 of 73	IHMCL will require the selected bidder to provide a Performance Bank Guarantee, within 15 days from the Notification of award, for a value equivalent to 5% of the total cost of quoted by bidder. The Performance Guarantee should be valid for a period of 6 months after expiry of Contract Agreement and also should have claim period of 1- year post expiry.	It is requested to kindly reduce the claim period of 1 year post expiry to the validity period of PBG i.e. 6 months after expiry of contract.	As per RFP

	RFP Document			
S.No.	Reference(s) (Section &	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
0.110.	Page Number(s))	Content of Ref Tequining Clarinoation(5)	Tomas of old medical of odggestion	Response from framez
	ITB Cl. 5.23.C (d)	there is a conflict among this and other	Considering that the assignments contained in the given RFP pertains	As per RFP
	Conflict of Interest, Pg.	consulting	to various existing/future projects of the client. In terms of the given	/ to per ru r
	22 of 73	assignments of the Bidder (including its	sub clause we believe that the bidder awarded the contract shall not be eligible for bidding	
	22 01 7 3	personnel and	any TMS, ATMS, MLFF projects as a	
		other members, if any) and any subsidiaries or	PMC/GC/Consultant or in any other capacity, please confirm.	
		entities controlled by such Bidder or having	I MO/OC/Consultant of in any other capacity, please commin.	
		common		
		controlling shareholders.		
63		The duties of the Consultant will depend on the		
00		circumstances of each case. While providing		
		consultancy		
		services to IHMCL for this particular		
		assignment, the		
		Consultant shall not take up any assignment		
		that by its		
		nature will result in conflict with the present		
		assignment.		
64	Evaluation Criteria:	Minimum Education Qualification – BE/BTech	We kindly request you to modify the given clause as follows:	Refer Corrigendum # 1
	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria	in any	Minimum Education Qualification – BE/ BTech /MSc. IT or in any	
	for Evaluation of		other Stream from any recognized institute by AICTE/UGC and	
	Proposed Resource (D1:	and	Regular Full-time Post Graduation/ MBA or equivalent.	
	For Project Manager) pg.	Regular Full-time MBA or equivalent.	We kindly request you to modify the given clause as follows:	
	26 of 73	And	i. Regular Full-time Degree or Post-Graduation from	
		In Marking:-	any IITs/IISc/IIMs/XLRI – 2 marks	
		i. Regular Full-time Degree or Post-Graduation	or	
		from	Experience in similar projects more than 10 years – 2 marks	
		any IITs/IISc/IIMs/XLRI – 2 marks	ii. Regular Full-time Degree or Post-Graduate from	
		ii. Regular Full-time Degree or Post-Graduate	any institute other than (i) above – 1 mark	
		from	We kindly request you to modify the given clause as follows:	
		any institute other than (i) above – 0 mark	i. Valid PMP or Prince2 Certification = 2 marks	
			or	
		For Certification:-	any Valid membership of International Association of Engineering –	
		i. Valid PMP or Prince2 Certification = 2 marks		
		ii. No valid PMP or Prince2 Certification = 0	ii. No valid PMP or Prince2 Certification or Membership = 0 mark	
		mark	Certification or Membership should be valid as on bid due date and	
			certification should be attached along with CV.	
		and		
	TOD 0.4.4/-) O"	certification should be attached along with CV.	Circa and an analysis of the circa and the c	As a supple
	TOR 8.4.1(a), Other	No replacement of Key resources viz. Project	Since any replacement will take place only in case of exceptional	As per RFP
	requirements, Pg. 40 of	Manager –	circumstances, with prior approval of the client and with equal or	
	73		better qualified resources, therefore, it is requested to kindly relax the	
		land	penalty provision for replacement taking place in the 1st year.	
65		Highways ATMS Expert shall be allowed in the		
		of the Project. A penalty of Rs. 2 lakhs per		
		resource shall		
		be applicable for any replacement in the first		
		vear.		
	TOR 8.5(a), Contract	The overall duration of the Consultancy	We believe that upon expiry of the duration of 3 years, the given	As per RFP
66	Duration, Pg. 40 of 73	Assignment shall	extension of 2 years shall be made through contract	' ' ' '
		be 3 years, which shall be extendable up to 2	amendment/modification with the cost escalation provisions mutually	
		years on a	agreeable by both the client and the consultant. Please confirm.	
		yearly basis at the same rates as per the	,	
		requirement of		
		IHMCL as mutually agreed.		
<u></u>	1	in o = do matadily agrood.		<u> </u>

	RFP Document			
S.No.	Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
67	TOR 8.6(c), Payment Terms, Pg. 41 of 73	All other payments such as Out-of-Expenses and Miscellaneous expenses for site visit, etc. shall be reimbursed on actuals as per the policy laid by	Requested to kindly provide IHMCL policy details for the payment of the given reimbursable expenses to enable the bidder to correctly make cost estimations.	The details shall be made available to successful bidder upon award of Contract.
J.		IHMCL for different grade of Resources and submission of Invoices with supporting bills in monthly bills.		
68	GCC 12.5.4, Pg. 68 of 73	The team shall be deployed on an exclusive basis; no resource deployed under this project will work on any other engagement during Contract Period and a declaration for the same to be provided.	Through this clause we believe that all the resources to be deployed for the project shall be working throughout the contract duration and no resources are to be deployed on intermittent basis. Please confirm.	As per RFP
69	GCC 12.11.1 and 12.11.2 Deliverable Timelines, Pg. 69 of 73	12.11.1: Consultants need to adhere the deliverable timelines as specified in contract / any related document failing which penalties shall be levied as below: 12.11.2: If the delay in any of above deliverable is beyond 10 weeks, then IHMCL reserves the right to terminate the Contract and forfeit the PBG. Further, IHMCL	With regard to the given GCC clauses, for ascertaining the submission due date, it is requested to kindly provide the deliverable timelines under TOR 8.3.1. (Various Activities & Deliverables)	Please refer the Terms of Reference clause at Clause 8. The scope of work includes providing ongoing technical and program management support for projects such as TMS, ATMS, and MLFF undertaken by IHMCL. The specified timelines for various deliverables will be determined based on the nature of the work upon the onboarding of the Consultant team and will be aligned with project requirements throughout the contract
		shall be free to get the work done from some other source at the risk and costs of the Consultant. The Consultant may be debarred for applying in future project consultancy assignments with IHMCL.		duration.
70	GCC 12.13, Termination of Contract, Pg. 70 of 73	The termination clause does not include provisions for termination by the Consultant	It is requested to kindly provide for the grounds of termination by the Consultant under the termination clause.	As per RFP
71	2. Schedule of the Tender - Key Dates, Pg. 6 of 73		We kindly request you to please extend the bid due date for 10 to 15 days from the bid submission end date.	Refer Corrigendum # 1
72	General	Price adjustment provisions have not been provided in the RFP	It is requested to kindly allow the price adjustment for both remuneration and reimbursable expenses and accordingly mention the provisions in this regard	As per RFP
73	6.3.2. Detailed Criteria for Evaluation of Proposed Resource	from any IITs/IISc/IIMs/XLRI – 2 marks ii. Regular Full-time Degree or Post-Graduate	You are requested to consider needful amendments as fetching senior resource for a full time Project Management job at a client site with such vast experience and reputed institutes like IITs/IIMs/XLRI are extremely difficult since they would be heading some large organization at senior CXO position or owning their own firm. Hence Request to kindly consider the request and amend as follows: i. Regular Full-time Degree with Post-Graduation / MBA from reputed Institutes – 2 marks ii. Regular Full-time Degree – 1 mark	Refer Corrigendum # 1

S.N	No.	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification / Suggestion	Response from IHMCL
74	5	, ,	Proposals, an EMD of INR 15 Lakhs only, in the form of a demand draft OR Bankers	Request you to consider the EMD exemption of Startup India registered under DPIIT. The request is line with the GFR Rule 173 (i) to exempt the startup from Prior Experience and Prior Turnover requirements for DPIIT Recognized Startups subject to meeting of quality & technical specifications and making suitable provisions in the bidding document.	Refer Corrigendum # 1